CERF 2025: EVALUATION RUBRIC FOR STUDENT PRESENTATIONS

STUDENT	NAME:

PRESENTATION TITLE.	PRESENTATION	TITLE:
---------------------	--------------	--------

SCORING: 5 = OUTSTANDING (Top 5%); 4 = EXCELLENT (Top 10%); 3 = GOOD; 2 = AVERAGE; 1 = POOR

Note that "5" should be used very sparingly (top 5% of ALL presentations). <u>Written comments are very important for</u> <u>breaking ties and for feedback for the student.</u> The student presenters will receive the forms after the conference (with the judge's name removed to maintain anonymity).

SCIENTIFIC CONTENT	SCORE (15)	WRITTEN COMMENTS
INTRODUCTION		
Provides adequate context and background		
Explains why this work is important ("big picture")		
DESIGN & METHODS		
Appropriate methods used for this study		
>> Experimental design & stats are sound and reasonable		
RESULTS & INTERPRETATION		
Data analysis is appropriate and correct		
>> Interpretation is based on the results, and provides an		
interesting, compelling story		
ORIGINALITY & CONTRIBUTION TO SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINE		
Study is original and creative		
>> Important contribution to coastal science		
>> Ties in with related studies previously done		

VISUAL PRESENTATION

ORGANIZATION	
Information is in a logical sequence	
Presentation/poster is well-organized and easy to follow	
DESIGN OF SLIDES/POSTER	
Text size is large enough to read	
Layout, color, and design are visually engaging	
>> Figures and tables are clear and easy to understand	

VERBAL COMMUNICATION

VERBAL SKILLS Speaks in a clear, professional tone (disregarding English)		
fluency mistakes)		
Enthusiastic and interacts with audience		
Stays within allotted time (oral presentation only)		
>> Score as 0 if the presenter was not at the poster during		
their allotted presentation time (posters only)		
UNDERSTANDING OF SUBJECT		
>> Displays clear knowledge and understanding of topic		
Answers audience questions clearly and effectively		

PLEASE TALLY THE FINAL SCORE: (40 points maximum)

NAME OF JUDGE: _____